

CLAUSE 7

Mr. SPEAKER.—There are no amendments to clause 7. The question is:

“That clause 7 do stand part of the Bill”

The motion was adopted.

Clause 7 was added to the Bill.

CLAUSE 1, etc.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Clause 1, the long Title. etc. The question is:

“That clause 1, the Long Title and the Enacting Formula do stand part of the Bill.”

The motion was adopted

Clause 1, the Long Title and Enacting Formula were added to the Bill.

Motion to pass.

Sri E. L. GOWDA.—Sir, I beg to move:

“That the Mysore Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) (Amendment) Bill, 1970 amended, be passed.”

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is :

“That the Mysore Agricultural produce Marketing (Regulation) (Amendment) Bill, 1970, as as amended, be passed.”

The motion was adopted.

Business of the House

Mr. SPEAKER.—There are two more Bills which can be taken up tomorrow.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY. (Minister for Law, Labour and Parliamentary Affairs)—Sir, the Land Revenue Bill has also to be taken up tomorrow. As you suggested that small Bill relating to the City Improvement Trust Board which only deals with the empowering the Board to take loans, may be taken up and passed.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is a small Bill. If hon. Members agree, we can take it up.

HON. MEMBERS.—No, Sir. We have a number of call attention motions.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—I do not think we would be correct in taking up six call attention notices together according to the rule, we can take up only one.

Mr. SPEAKER.—What the Hon. Minister says is correct according to the rule. But since they are included and I wanted to accommodate Hon. Members, we may take it up.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—If you depart from the rule, we will come to grief, Sir.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಎನ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ರೂರಸ್ ನಲ್ಲಿ ಏನಾದರೂ ಕೊಶ್ಚನ್ ಆವರ್ ಬೇಡ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆಯೇ ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon. Minister is completely right ; only one call attention notice could be taken at the same sitting. I relaxed the rule so as to accommodate Members.

Calling Attention to Matters of Urgent Public Importance

(i) *re*: Stoppage of work at Raichur Regulated Market by hamals

Sri M. NAGAPPA.—Sir, I call the attention of the Minister for Development to the stoppage of work by hammals of Raichur Regulated Market.

6-00 P.M.

† **Sri B. L. GOWDA** (Deputy Minister for Co-operation).—Sir, in the Market Committee, Raichur, resolved at their meeting held on 28th May 1965 to increase the hamali charges and the proposal was received by the Chief Marketing Officer on 4th June 1965. When this matter was being examined, the said Market Committee again passed a resolution on 13th May, 1966 and recommended further enhancement of the hamali charges ; this proposal was received by the Chief Marketing Officer on 25th May 1966. After examining the proposals of the Market Committee, the Chief Marketing Officer approved the proposal of the Market Committee as resolved on 28th May 1965 in toto and the order was communicated to the Market Committee on 20th July 1966.

The Market committee has not sent any recommendation during 1968 to enhance hamali charges as stated by the Hon'ble Member. The Market Committee, Raichur has, on the other hand resolved, on 12th June 1968 unanimously not to revise the existing rate of market charges but to continue them until a definite decision is taken by Government, with regard to the representations made by the Merchants.

The main cause for this strike by the Hamals is with regard to the extra payment of 2 paise per bag for stacking the foodgrains, pulses and other oil seeds, groundnuts, and cotton seed. The Administrator, Market Committee, Raichur has reported that after prolonged discussions